

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,910	07/03/2001	Richard Stirling-Gallacher	282651US8X	1395
²²⁸⁵⁰ OBLON, SPIV		Richard Stirling-Gallacher 282651US8X 007 D, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	MINER	
09/897,910 07/03/2001 Richard Stirling-Gallacher	DEAN, RA	DEAN, RAYMOND S		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	Richard Stirling-Gallacher 282651US8X EXAM MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. DEAN, RA ART UNIT 2618 NOTIFICATION DATE	PAPER NUMBER	
		2618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/897,910	STIRLING-GALLACHER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Raymond S. Dean	2618		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period fo		/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE • MONTH	(O) OD THIDTY (OO) DAYO		
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.5 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vero reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2007.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowar				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 23-30 is/are pending in the application	n.	•		
.—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 23-30 is/are rejected.	•			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🔲 ⁻	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2001</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🖂 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
		•			
Attachment		استا .			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 20, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see remarks filed February 20, 2007 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 23, 27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art Li (US 6,654,429) and Mitra et al. (5,533,063).

Li teaches a device for receiving signals in a wireless cellular orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM) system, in which data symbols are transmitted in frequency subcarriers and timeslots (Figure 2, Col. 3 lines 30 - 39), comprising: a channel estimator configured to perform a channel estimation on the basis of received pilot symbols (Cols. 4 lines 35 - 67, 5 lines 1 - 21); and a filter configured to perform a channel estimation for data symbols between pilot symbols (Cols. 4 lines 35 - 67, 5

Application/Control Number: 09/897,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

lines 1 – 21), an estimated carrier being a wanted carrier power value at a frequency subcarrier and a timeslot of a data symbol to be channel estimated, and said interference value is an interference reference value (Cols. 4 lines 35 - 67, 5 lines 1 -21, channel estimation takes into account various characteristics of a channel such as adjacent and co-channel interference, along with noise and background effects, the effects of said interference is quantized using the carrier to interference ratio (CIR) thus channel estimation takes into account the CIR). Mitra teaches said filter being selected from a set of filters based on the estimated carrier to interference ratio (Cols. 1 lines 66 -67, 2 lines 1-2, there are a plurality of sets of filter coefficients due to the change in channel impulse response thus plurality of filters, the channel impulse response is an estimation of the channel, which as detailed above, takes into account the carrier to interference ratio (CIR)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Li with the filtering technique of Mitra for the purpose of extracting a signal of interest from interfering multipath and Doppler spread signals which does not result in an unacceptable increase in noise as taught by Mitra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,910

Art Unit: 2618

4. Claims 23 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 6,654,429) in view of Mitra et al. (5,533,063).

Regarding Claim 23, Li teaches a device for receiving signals in a wireless cellular orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM) system, in which data symbols are transmitted in frequency subcarriers and timeslots (Figure 2, Col. 3 lines 30 – 39), comprising: a channel estimator configured to perform a channel estimation on the basis of received pilot symbols (Cols. 4 lines 35 – 67, 5 lines 1 – 21); and a filter configured to perform a channel estimation for data symbols between pilot symbols (Cols. 4 lines 35 – 67, 5 lines 1 – 21), an estimated carrier being a wanted carrier power value at a frequency subcarrier and a timeslot of a data symbol to be channel estimated, and said interference value is an interference reference value (Cols. 4 lines 35 – 67, 5 lines 1 – 21, typical OFDM systems comprise timeslots, channel estimation takes into account various characteristics of a channel such as adjacent and co-channel interference, along with noise and background effects, the effects of said interference is quantized using the carrier to interference ratio (CIR) thus channel estimation takes into account the CIR).

Li does not teach said filter being selected from a set of filters based on the estimated carrier to interference ratio.

Mitra teaches said filter being selected from a set of filters based on the estimated carrier to interference ratio (Cols. 1 lines 66 - 67, 2 lines 1 - 2, there are a plurality of sets of filter coefficients due to the change in channel impulse response thus

Art Unit: 2618

plurality of filters, the channel impulse response is an estimation of the channel, which as detailed above, takes into account the carrier to interference ratio (CIR)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Li with the filtering technique of Mitra for the purpose of extracting a signal of interest from interfering multipath and Doppler spread signals which does not result in an unacceptable increase in noise as taught by Mitra.

Regarding Claim 27, Li teaches a method for channel estimation in a wireless cellular orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM) system, in which data symbols are transmitted in frequency subcarriers and timeslots (Figure 2, Col. 3 lines 30 – 39), comprising: performing a channel estimation on the basis of received pilot symbols (Cols. 4 lines 35 – 67, 5 lines 1 – 21); and performing, by a filter, a channel estimation for data symbols between pilot symbols (Cols. 4 lines 35 – 67, 5 lines 1 – 21), an estimated carrier being a wanted carrier power value at a frequency subcarrier and a timeslot of a data symbol to be channel estimated, and said interference value is an interference reference value (Cols. 4 lines 35 – 67, 5 lines 1 – 21, typical OFDM systems comprise timeslots, channel estimation takes into account various characteristics of a channel such as adjacent and co-channel interference, along with noise and background effects, the effects of said interference is quantized using the carrier to interference ratio (CIR) thus channel estimation takes into account the CIR).

Li does not teach said filter being selected from a set of filters based on the estimated carrier to interference ratio.

Art Unit: 2618

Mitra teaches said filter being selected from a set of filters based on the estimated carrier to interference ratio (Cols. 1 lines 66 - 67, 2 lines 1 - 2, there are a plurality of sets of filter coefficients due to the change in channel impulse response thus plurality of filters, the channel impulse response is an estimation of the channel, which as detailed above, takes into account the carrier to interference ratio (CIR)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Li with the filtering technique of Mitra for the purpose of extracting a signal of interest from interfering multipath and Doppler spread signals which does not result in an unacceptable increase in noise as taught by Mitra.

Regarding Claims 24, 28, Li in view of Mitra teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 23, 27. Li further teaches a carrier to interference ratio at the frequency subcarrier and the timeslot of the data symbol to be channel estimated (Cols. 4 lines 35-67, 5 lines 1-21, typical OFDM systems comprise timeslots, channel estimation takes into account various characteristics of a channel such as adjacent and co-channel interference, along with noise and background effects, the effects of said interference is quantized using the carrier to interference ratio (CIR) thus channel estimation takes into account the CIR). Mitra teaches means for selecting said filter based on the estimated carrier to interference ratio (Cols. 1 lines 66-67, 2 lines 1-2, there are a plurality of sets of filter coefficients due to the change in channel impulse response thus plurality of filters, the channel impulse response is an estimation of the

Application/Control Number: 09/897,910

Art Unit: 2618

channel, which as detailed above, takes into account the carrier to interference ratio (CIR)).

Regarding Claims 25, 29, Li in view of Mitra teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 24, 28. Li further teaches a frequency filter that is selected on the basis of a difference vector between frequency subcarriers adjacent to the frequency subcarrier of the data symbol to be channel estimated (Col. 2 lines 36 – 45, 2-D filter comprises a frequency filter).

Regarding Claims 26, 30, Li in view of Mitra teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 24, 28. Li further teaches a time filter (Col. 2 lines 36 – 45, 2-D filter comprises a time filter). Mitra further teaches means for selecting selects a filter based on a Doppler frequency of the estimated channel (Col. 2 lines 39 – 40, the filter takes into account the Doppler characteristics).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,910 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean April 25, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600